

Deutsche Übersetzung (nicht ganz perfekt mit Google):

POLI70-T54-Amerika besiegt Deutschland zum dritten Mal in einem Jahrhundert

MARCH 1, 2022

America Defeats Germany for the Third Time in a Century

BY MICHAEL HUDSON



Photograph Source: Travis Wise – [CC BY 2.0](#)

How the MIC, OGAM and FIRE Sectors Conquered NATO

My old boss Herman Kahn, with whom I worked at the Hudson Institute in the 1970s, had a set speech that he would give at public meetings. He said that back in high school in Los Angeles, his teachers would say what most liberals were saying in the 1940s and 50s: “Wars never solved anything.” It was as if they never changed anything – and therefore shouldn’t be fought.

Herman disagreed, and made lists of all sorts of things that wars had solved in world

history, or at least changed. He was right, and of course that is the aim of both sides in today's New Cold War confrontation in Ukraine.

The question to ask is what today's New Cold War is trying to change or "solve." To answer this question, it helps to ask who initiates the war. There always are two sides – the attacker and the attacked. The attacker intends certain consequences, and the attacked looks for unintended consequences of which they can take advantage. **In this case, both sides have their dueling sets of intended consequences and special interests.**

The active military force and aggression since 1991 has been the United States. Rejecting mutual disarmament of the Warsaw Pact countries and NATO, there was no "peace dividend." **Instead, the U.S. policy executed by the Clinton and subsequent administrations to wage a new military expansion via NATO has paid a 30-year dividend in the form of shifting the foreign policy of Western Europe and other American allies out of their domestic political sphere into their own U.S.-oriented "national security" blob (the word for special interests that must not be named). NATO has become Europe's foreign-policy-making body, even to the point of dominating domestic economic interests.**

The recent prodding of Russia by expanding Ukrainian anti-Russian ethnic violence by Ukraine's neo-Nazi post-2014 Maiden regime was aimed at (and has succeeded in forcing a showdown in response the fear by U.S. interests that they are losing their economic and political hold on their NATO allies and other Dollar Area satellites as these countries have seen their major opportunities for gain to lie in increasing trade and investment with China and Russia.

To understand just what U.S. aims and interests are threatened, it is necessary to understand U.S. politics and "the blob," that is, the government central planning that cannot be explained by looking at ostensibly democratic politics. This is not the politics of U.S. senators and representatives representing their congressional voting districts or states.

America's three oligarchies in control of U.S. foreign policy

It is more realistic to view U.S. economic and foreign policy in terms of the military-industrial complex, the oil and gas (and mining) complex, and the banking and real estate complex than in terms of the political policy of Republicans and Democrats.

The key senators and congressional representatives do not represent their states and districts as much as the economic and financial interests of their major political campaign contributors. A Venn diagram would show that in today's post-Citizens United world, U.S. politicians represent their campaign contributors, not voters. And these contributors fall basically into three main blocs.

Three main oligarchic groups that have bought control of the Senate and Congress to put their own policy makers in the State Department and Defense Department. First is the **Military-Industrial Complex (MIC) – arms manufacturers such as Raytheon, Boeing and Lockheed-Martin**, have broadly diversified their factories and employment in nearly every state, and especially in the Congressional districts where key Congressional committee heads are elected. **Their economic base is monopoly rent, obtained above all from their arms sales to NATO, to Near Eastern oil exporters and to other countries with a balance-of-payments surplus.** Stocks for these companies soared immediately upon news of the Russian attack, leading a two-day stock-market surge as investors recognized that war in a world of cost-plus "Pentagon capitalism" (as Seymour Melman described it) will provide a guaranteed national-security umbrella for monopoly profits for war industries. Senators and Congressional representatives from California and Washington traditionally have represented the MIC, along with the solid pro-military South. **The past week's military escalation promises soaring arms sales to NATO and other U.S. allies, enriching the actual constituents of these politicians. Germany quickly agreed to raise its arms spending to over 2% of GDP.**

The second major oligarchic bloc is the **rent-extracting oil and gas sector**, joined by mining (OGAM), riding America's special tax favoritism granted to companies emptying natural resources out of the ground and putting them mostly into the atmosphere, oceans and water supply. Like the banking and real estate sector seeking to maximize economic rent and maximizing capital gains for housing and other assets,, the aim of this OGAM sector is to maximize the price of its energy and raw materials so as to maximize its natural-resource rent. **Monopolizing the Dollar Area's oil market and isolating it from Russian oil and gas has been a major U.S. priority for over a year now, as the Nord Stream 2 pipeline threatened to link the Western European and Russian economies more tightly together.**

If oil, gas and mining operations are not situated in every U.S. voting district, at least their investors are. Senators from Texas and other Western oil-producing and mining states are the leading OGAM lobbyists, and the State Department has a heavy oil-sector influence providing a national-security umbrella for the sector's special tax breaks. The ancillary political aim is to ignore and reject environmental drives to replace oil, gas and coal with alternative sources of energy. The Biden administration accordingly has backed the expansion of offshore drilling, supported the Canadian pipeline to the world's dirtiest petroleum source in the Athabasca tar sands, and celebrated the revival of U.S. fracking.

The foreign-policy extension is to prevent foreign countries not leaving control of their oil, gas and mining to U.S. OGAM companies from competing in world markets with U.S. suppliers. **Isolating Russia (and Iran) from Western markets will reduce the supply of oil and gas, pushing up prices and corporate profits accordingly.**

The third major oligarchic group is the symbiotic Finance, Insurance and Real Estate (FIRE) sector, which is the modern finance-capitalist successor to Europe's old post-feudal landed aristocracy living by land rents. With most housing in today's world having become owner-occupied (although with sharply rising rates of absentee landlordship since the post-2008 wave of Obama Evictions), land rent is paid largely to the banking sector in the form of mortgage interest and debt amortization (on rising debt/equity ratios as bank lending inflates housing prices). **About 80 percent of U.S. and British bank loans are to the real estate sector, inflating land prices to create capital gains – which are effectively tax-exempt for absentee owners.**

This Wall Street-centered banking and real estate bloc is even more broadly based on a district-by-district basis than the MIC. Its New York senator from Wall Street, Chuck Schumer, heads the Senate, long supported by Delaware's former Senator from the credit-card industry Joe Biden, and Connecticut's senators from the insurance sector centered in that state. Domestically, the aim of this sector is to maximize land rent and the "capital" gains resulting from rising land rent.

Internationally, the FIRE sector's aim is to privatize foreign economies (above all to secure the privilege of credit creation in U.S. hands), so as to turn government infrastructure and public utilities into rent-seeking monopolies to provide basic services (such as health care, education, transportation, communications and information technology) at maximum prices instead of at subsidized prices to reduce the cost of living and doing business. And Wall Street always has been closely merged with the oil and gas industry (*viz.* the Rockefeller-dominated Citigroup and Chase Manhattan banking conglomerates).

The FIRE, MIC and OGAM sectors are the three *rentier* sectors that dominate today's post-industrial finance capitalism. Their mutual fortunes have soared as MIC and OGAM stocks have increased. And moves to exclude Russia from the Western financial system (and partially now from SWIFT), coupled with the adverse effects of isolating European economies from Russian energy, promise to spur an inflow into dollarized financial securities

As mentioned at the outset, it is more helpful to view U.S. economic and foreign policy in terms of the complexes based on these three *rentier* sectors than in terms of the political policy of Republicans and Democrats. The key

senators and congressional representatives are not representing their states and districts as much as the economic and financial interests of their major donors. That is why neither manufacturing nor agriculture play the dominant role in U.S. foreign policy today. The convergence of the policy aims of America's three dominant *rentier* groups overwhelms the interests of labor and even of industrial capital beyond the MIC. That convergence is the defining characteristic of today's post-industrial finance capitalism. It is basically a reversion to economic rent-seeking, which is independent of the politics of labor and industrial capital.

The dynamic that needs to be traced today is why this oligarchic blob has found its interest in prodding Russia into what Russia evidently viewed as a do-or-die stance to resist the increasingly violent attacks on Ukraine's eastern Russian-speaking provinces of Luhansk and Donetsk, along with the broader Western threats against Russia.

The rentier "blob's" expected consequences of the New Cold War

As President Biden explained, the current U.S.-orchestrated military escalation ("Prodding the Bear") is not really about Ukraine. Biden promised at the outset that no U.S. troops would be involved. But he has been demanding for over a year that Germany prevent the Nord Stream 2 pipeline from supplying its industry and housing with low-priced gas and turn to the much higher-priced U.S. suppliers.

U.S. officials first tried to stop construction of the pipeline from being completed. Firms aiding in its construction were sanctioned, but finally Russia itself completed the pipeline. U.S. pressure then turned on the traditionally pliant (**pliant = fügsam**) German politicians, claiming that Germany and the rest of Europe faced a National Security threat from Russia turning off the gas, presumably to extract some political or economic concessions. No specific Russian demands could be thought up, and so their nature was left obscure and blob-like. Germany refused to authorize Nord Stream 2 from officially going into operation.

A major aim of today's New Cold War is to monopolize the market for U.S. shipments of liquified natural gas (LNG). Already under Donald Trump's administration, Angela Merkel was bullied into promising to spend \$1 billion building new port facilities for U.S. tanker ships to unload natural gas for German use.

The Democratic election victory in November 2020, followed by Ms. Merkel's retirement from Germany's political scene, led to cancellation of this port investment, leaving Germany really without much alternative to importing Russian gas to heat its homes, power its electric utilities, and to provide raw material for its fertilizer industry and hence the maintenance of its farm productivity.

So the most pressing U.S. strategic aim of NATO confrontation with Russia is soaring oil and gas prices, above all to the detriment of Germany. In addition to creating profits and stock-market gains for U.S. oil companies, higher energy prices will take much of the steam out of the German economy. That looms as the third time in a century that the United States has defeated Germany – each time increasing its control over a German economy increasingly dependent on the United States for imports and policy leadership, with NATO being the effective check against any domestic nationalist resistance.

Higher gasoline, heating and other energy prices also will hurt U.S. consumers and those of other nations (especially Global South energy-deficit economies) and leave less of the U.S. family budget for spending on domestic goods and services. This could squeeze marginalized homeowners and investors, leading to further concentration of absentee ownership of housing and commercial property in the United States, along with buyouts of distressed real estate owners in other countries faced with soaring heating and energy costs. But that is deemed collateral damage

by the post-industrial blob.

Food prices also will rise, headed by wheat. (Russia and Ukraine account for 25 percent of world wheat exports.) This will squeeze many Near Eastern and Global South food-deficit countries, worsening their balance of payments and threatening foreign debt defaults.

Russian raw-materials exports may be blocked by Russia in response to the currency and SWIFT sanctions. This threatens to cause breaks in supply chains for key materials, including cobalt, palladium, nickel and aluminum (the production of which consumes much electricity as its major cost – which will make that metal more expensive). If China decides to see itself as the next nation being threatened and joins Russia in a common protest against the U.S. trade and financial warfare, the Western economies are in for a serious shock.

The long-term dream of U.S. New Cold Warriors is to break up Russia, or at least to restore its Yeltsin/Harvard Boys managerial kleptocracy, with oligarchs seeking to cash in their privatizations in Western stock markets. OGAM still dreams of buying majority control of Yukos and Gazprom. Wall Street would love to recreate a Russian stock market boom. And MIC investors are happily anticipating the prospect of selling more weapons to help bring all this about.

Russia's intentions to benefit from America's unintended consequences

What does Russia want? Most immediately, to remove the neo-Nazi anti-Russian core that the Maidan massacre and coup put in place in 2014. Ukraine is to be neutralized, which to Russia means basically pro-Russian, dominated by Donetsk, Luhansk and Crimea. **The aim is to prevent Ukraine from becoming a staging ground of U.S.-orchestrated anti-Russian moves a la Chechnya and Georgia.**

Russia's longer-term aim is to pry Europe away from NATO and U.S. dominance – and in the process, create with China a new multipolar world order centered on an economically integrated Eurasia. The aim is to dissolve NATO altogether, and then to promote the broad disarmament and denuclearization policies that Russia has been pushing for. Not only will this cut back foreign purchases of U.S. arms, but it may end up leading to sanctions **against future U.S. military adventurism.** That would leave America with less ability to fund its military operations as de-dollarization accelerates.

Now that it should be obvious to any informed observer that **(1) NATO's purpose is aggression, not defense**, and **(2) there is no further territory for it to conquer from the remains of the old Soviet Union**, what does Europe get out of continued membership? It is obvious that Russia never again will invade Europe. It has nothing to gain – and had nothing to gain by fighting Ukraine, except to roll back NATO's proxy expansion into that country and the NATO-backed attacks on Novorossiia. **Will European nationalist leaders (the left is largely pro-US) ask why their countries should pay for U.S. arms that only put them in danger, pay higher prices for U.S. LNG and energy, pay more for grain and Russian-produced raw materials, all while losing the option of making export sales and profits on peaceful investment in Russia – and perhaps losing China as well?**

The U.S. confiscation of Russian monetary reserves, following the recent theft of Afghanistan's reserves (and England's seizure of Venezuela's gold stocks held there) threatens every country's adherence to the Dollar Standard, and hence the dollar's role as the vehicle for foreign-exchange savings by the world's central banks. This will accelerate the international de-dollarization process already started by Russia and China relying on mutual holdings of each other's currencies.

Over the longer term, Russia is likely to join China in forming an alternative to the U.S.-dominated IMF and World Bank. Russia's announcement that it wants to arrest the Ukrainian Nazis and hold a war crimes trial seems to imply an alternative to the Hague court will be established following Russia's military victory in Ukraine. Only a new international court could try war criminals extending from Ukraine's neo-Nazi leadership all the way up to U.S. officials responsible for crimes against humanity as defined by the Nuremberg laws.

I expect Russia to withdraw this week. I can't imagine that it has any intention of expending resources and lives on occupation. Its first task was to stop the attack on the Russian-speaking eastern provinces and to protect Crimea. Its second task was to wipe out the neo-Nazi military forces, capturing their leaders if possible and bringing them to trial for war crimes — and then proceeding up the ladder to their U.S. sponsors, NED etc.

It is of course possible that Europe will break away. In that case, Russia will turn toward China and its fellow SCO members. **Europe will suffer severe supply chain issues, commodity-price inflation, and budget squeezes for its population and governments.**

Did the American blob actually think through the consequences of NATO's war?


It is almost black humor to look at U.S. attempts to convince China that it should join the United States in denouncing Russia's moves into Ukraine. The most enormous unintended **consequence of U.S. foreign policy has been to drive Russia and China together**, along with Iran, Central Asia and other countries along the Belt and Road initiative.

Russia dreamed of creating a new world order, but it was U.S. adventurism that has driven the world into an entirely new order – one that looks to be dominated by China as the default winner now that the European economy is essentially torn apart and America is left with what it has grabbed from Russia and Afghanistan, but without the ability to gain future support.

And everything that I have written above may already be obsolete as Russia and the U.S. have gone on atomic alert. My only hope is that Putin and Biden can agree that if Russia hydrogen bombs Britain and Brussels, that there will be a devil's (not gentleman's) agreement not to bomb each other.

With such talk I'm brought back to my discussions with Herman Kahn 50 years ago. He became quite unpopular for writing *Thinking about the Unthinkable*, meaning atomic war. As he was parodied in Dr. Strangelove, he did indeed say that there would indeed be survivors. But he added that for himself, he hoped to be right under the atom bomb, because it was not a world in which he wanted to survive.

*Michael Hudson is the author of **Killing the Host** (published in e-format by CounterPunch Books and in print by Islet). His new book is **J is For Junk Economics**. He can be reached at mh@michael-hudson.com*



**New from
CounterPunch**
CounterPunch+

Subscribe to get CP+ exclusive content

March 02, 2022

SHALINI SINGH

Infinite Longing, Demarcated Bodies

March 01, 2022

MICHAEL HUDSON

America Defeats Germany for the Third Time in a Century

JACK RASMUS

Some Economic Consequences of the War in Ukraine

MELVIN GOODMAN

Nikita Khrushchev and Vlad the Invader: Perils and Defeats of Overreach

PATRICK MAZZA

Beyond the Clash of Empires: a World of Living Places

DAVE LINDORFF

Time for the US to Take the Lead for Peace in Ukraine

SONALI KOLHATKAR

There's No Sugarcoating Hershey's Abuse of Workers and Union-Busting Tactics

THOMAS KNAPP

Ukraine: Don't Look to Politicians for Peace

PHYLLIS BENNIS

Respond to Putin's Invasion of Ukraine With Diplomacy Not War

THOMAS KLIKAUER – MEG YOUNG

The Pathologies of the Megamachine

RALPH NADER

Everyone Loses in the Conflict Over Ukraine

RODNEY TAYLOR

How a Black Writer in 19th-Century America Used Humor to Combat White Supremacy

PHIL MATTERA

Building Back Unions With Executive Power

SARAH ANDERSON

What Can Biden Say About the Economic State of the Union?

MICHAEL GALANT

Congressional Hearing Highlights Need for IMF Policy Change to Support an Equitable Global Recovery

COUNTERPUNCH NEWS SERVICE

Why Progressive Lawmakers in the US Must Call for the Impeachment of Joe Biden

February 28, 2022

PATRICK COCKBURN

Putin has Gambled Everything on His Snap-Invasion of Ukraine, Now His Political Survival in Russia is in Doubt

KENNETH SURIN

Crisis Over Ukraine: A Primer

ROBERT HUNZIKER

15 Nuclear Reactors in the Midst of Battle

PAUL STREET

15 Bad Ukraine Narratives

LISA OWENS VIANI

National Bird at Risk from Environmental Toxins

ERIC DRAITSER

Putin's Quagmire, Turkey's Gain?

MARTHA ROSENBERG

A U.S. Epidemiologist, Rich DiPentima, Talks About the "Next" COVID-19 Pandemic

VIJAY PRASHAD

France Withdraws From Mali, But Continues to Devastate Africa's Sahel

BINOY KAMPMARK

Antarctica: Where Realpolitik and Science Meet

CHRIS ORLET

Sen. Rick Scott's 11-Point Anti-Worker Plan for America

FRED GARDNER

The Situation in Haiti, 1995: an Interview with Paul Farmer, MD

ERICA CIRINO

How Nanoplastics Enter the Human Body

JOHN FEFFER

Putin's Cold, Cold Strategy

STEEN SOHN

Russia's Invasion of Ukraine Violates International Law. Does It Matter?

D.G. MAWN - MADHAWA PALIHATHIYA - PRABHA SANKARANYARAN

Truckers, Tractors, and Ukraine: What Do They Tell us About Democracy?

CESAR CHELALA

Holodomor Belies Putin's Words and Actions on Ukraine

ED SANDERS

Putin and Agamemnon

Weekend Edition

February 25, 2022

Friday - Sunday

JEFFREY ST. CLAIR

Roaming Charges: Insane in the Ukraine

MATTHEW STEVENSON

Russia at War

PATRICK COCKBURN

Russophobia Leads Us to Assume the Worst of Russians – and Assuming They're Demonic Could be Dangerous

EVE OTTENBERG

Priced Out of the Market and Other Housing Woes

ERIC DRAITSER

Is Ukraine Really a Nazi State?

STEPHEN F. EISENMAN

Over Your Dead Body

JOHN FEFFER

Building a Post-Extractivist Future for Latin America

CHRIS HEDGES

Chronicle of War Foretold

PAUL STREET

White Tears Trump Justice: On the Persistence of the Racist Police State

DAVID CASASSAS, JULIE WARK, AND JEAN WYLLYS

Brazil, Amazon World: About a Universal Basic Income

RON JACOBS

Imperial Idiocy’s Newest Battleground

ROBERT HUNZIKER

Factory Farms Destroy Ecosystems

CounterPunch

Tells the Facts and Names the Names

Published since 1996

Copyright © CounterPunch

All rights reserved.

counterpunch@counterpunch.org

Administration

Becky Grant

CEO/Administrator

Deva Wheeler

Subscriber and Order Support

counterpunchbiz@gmail.com

Editorial

Jeffrey St. Clair, **Editor**

Joshua Frank, **Managing Editor**

Nathaniel St. Clair, **Social Media**

Alexander Cockburn, 1941—2012

Mailing Address

CounterPunch

PO Box 228

Petrolia, CA 95558

Telephone

Nichole Stephens, Administrative Assistant

1(707) 629-3683



MARCH 1, 2022

Everyone Loses in the Conflict Over Ukraine

BY

RALPH NADER

When two scorpions are in a bottle, they both lose. This is the preventable danger that is growing daily, with no end game in sight between the two nuclear superpowers, led by dictator Vladimir Putin and de facto sole decider, Joe Biden. Putin’s first argument is, Washington invented the model of aggressive, illegal invasions, and destruction of distant countries that never threatened U.S. security. Millions have died, been injured, and sickened in defenseless countries attacked by U.S. armed forces. George W. Bush and Dick Cheney killed over a million innocent Iraqis and devastated the country in so many ways that scholars called it a “sociocide.”

Putin's second argument is that Russia is being threatened on its sensitive western border, **which had been invaded twice by Germany and caused the loss of 50 million Russian lives.** Soon after the Soviet Union collapsed, the West's military alliance against Russia began moving east. Under Bill Clinton, NATO (The North Atlantic Treaty Organization) **signed up Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic in 1999 leading to major arms sales by the U.S. giant munitions corporations.** More recently, **Putin sees U.S. soldiers in these countries, ever closer U.S. missile launchers, U.S.-led joint naval exercises in the Baltic Sea, and intimations that Ukraine and Georgia could soon join NATO. (Imagine if the Russians were to have such a military presence around the U.S. borders.)** **Even often hawkish New York Times columnists – Thomas Friedman and Bret Stephens made this point this week about the brazen U.S. history of military hypocrisy while tearing into Putin. Stephens brought up the Monroe Doctrine over the entire Western Hemisphere, in raising repeatedly the question, “Who are We?”**

The chess game between Russia and the West has become more deadly with Putin's military moves followed by immediate Western sanctions against some Russian banks and oligarchs close to Putin. Travel bans and freezing the completion of the second major natural gas pipeline from Russia to Germany are in place with promises of much more severe economic retaliation by Biden.

These sanctions can become a two-way street. Western Europe needs Russian oil and gas, Russian wheat, and essential Russian minerals such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel. Sanctions against Russia will soon boomerang in terms of higher oil and gas prices for Europeans and Americans, more inflation, worsening supply chains, and the dreaded “economic uncertainty” afflicting stock markets and consumer spending. The corporate global economy gave us interdependence on other nations, instead of domestic self-reliance under the framework of corporate-managed free trade agreements.

So how many billions of dollars in costs and a weakened economy will Joe Biden tolerate as the price of anti-Putin sanctions that will blowback on the American people? How much suffering will he tolerate being inflicted on the long-suffering Russian people? What will be the impact on the civilian population of more severe sanctions? And who is he to talk as if he doesn't have to be authorized by Congress to go further into this state of belligerence, short of sending soldiers, which he said he would not do?

Is Congress to be left as a cheerleader, washing its hands of its constitutional oversight and foreign policy duties? Also, watch Republicans and Democrats in Congress unify to whoop through more money for the bloated military budget, as pointed out by military analyst, Michael Klare. What energy will be left for Biden's pending “Build Back Better” infrastructure, social safety net, and climate crisis legislation?

In recent weeks, the State Department said it recognizes Russia's legitimate security concerns but not its expansionism. Well, what is wrong with a ceasefire followed by support for a treaty “guaranteeing neutrality for Ukraine, similar to the enforced neutrality for Austria since the Cold War's early years,” as Nation publisher and Russia specialist Katrina vanden Heuvel urged. (See: Katrina vanden Heuvel's Washington Post article and her recent Nation piece). Putin, unable to get over the breakup of the Soviet Union, probably has imperial ambitions to dominate in Russia's backyard. **Biden has inherited and accepted the U.S. Empire's ambitions in many other nation's backyards. Events have polarized this conflict over Ukraine, which is not a security interest for the U.S., into two dominant egos – Putin and Biden – neither of whom want to appear weak or to back down.**

This is a dangerous recipe for an out-of-control escalation, much as it was in the lead-up to World War I. Neither the people nor the parliaments mattered then, as

seems to be the case today.

Putin isn't likely to make a cost-benefit assessment of each day's militarism. But Biden better do so. Otherwise, he will be managed by Putin's daily moves, instead of insisting on serious negotiations. The Minsk II Peace Accords of February 2015 brokered by Germany, France, and the United Nations that Russia and Ukraine agreed to before falling apart due to disagreements over who should take the first steps, still makes for a useful framework.

It is too late to revisit the accords to stop the invasion. But it should be proposed to introduce a climate for waging peace. Already, New York Governor Kathy Hochul has spoken about an increase in cyberattacks and ransomware demands in her state in recent weeks. Has Biden put that rising certainty in his self-described decades-long foreign policy expertise? Watch out for what you can't stop, Joe.

Ralph Nader is a consumer advocate, lawyer and author of [Only the Super-Rich Can Save Us!](#)